

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,052	03/29/2004	Jeffrey John-Carl Tuttle	9520		
75	90 08/31/2006		EXAMINER		
Jeffrey Tuttle 42177 Blairmoor			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER		
Sterling Heights, MI 48313			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3711		
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	`	
10/811,052	TUTTLE, JEFFREY JOHN-CARL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen L. Blau	3711		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen L. Blau	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
HE REPLY FILED 22 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
	a) The periods for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	tension and the corresponding amount	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" " 07 OFD 44 07 44	5 1 1 111 1 1 1 1					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL OOA)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	, •				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:			•				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.							

received by the Patent Office by 1 November 2006.

Continuation of 13. Other: The After Final Amendment is non-compliant with the MPEP. In amending the claims the last set of entered claims of 12 May 2006 were not used to make changes. Specifically there are words which are missing which were not deleted in accordance with article 714 II. C. of MPEP (i.e. strike throughs or double brackets). Basically the words added on 12 May 2006 were not removed in accordance with the procedures in the mentioned above article. As such the amendment of 8/22/06 was not entered and the applicant should assume that the current claims in the case are still those submitted on 12 May 2006. In addition the clock is still running from the mailing date of the Final Office Action of 1 August 2006 so to avoid paying any extensions of time a proper response needs to be

STEPHEN BLAU
PRIMARY EXAMINER